1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS						
2		HOUSTON DIVISION					
3		. NO. H-11-30 USTON, TEXAS					
4							
5	HOSSEIN LAHIJI, M.D JAI NAJMEH VAHID LAHIJI . 1:2	NUARY 24, 2014 28 P.M. to 1:53 P.M.					
6							
7	TRANSCRIPT of SENTENCINGS						
8 9	UNITED STATES DISTR	BEFORE THE HONORABLE MELINDA HARMON UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE					
10							
11	APPEARANCES:						
12	FOR THE GOVERNMENT: MS	. CAROLYN FERKO S. Attorney's Office					
13	10 ⁰ Su.	00 Louisiana ite 2300					
14		uston, Texas 77002					
15		MICHARI WACDIM					
16	II -	McCrum Law Office					
17	Su	0 North St. Mary's Street ite 1900 n Antonio, TX 78205					
18		ii Ailcoiiio, ix 70203					
19		DEFENDANT NAJMEH VAHID					
20	LAHIJI: MR	. M. ANDRES SANCHEZ-ROSS reman, DeGeurin					
21	30	0 Main Street ird Floor					
22		uston, Texas 77002					
23							
24	Proceedings recorded by mechanical s	l stenography, transcript					
25		tion.					

1			Al	PPEARANCES	CONTINUED	
2		~~		_		
3	OFFICIAL	COURT	REPORTE	R:	U.S. Courthouse	
4					515 Rusk Room 8004_	
5					Houston, Texas 713-250-5208	77002
6						
7						
8						
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						

PROCEEDINGS 1 2 THE COURT: The next case is the United States versus Hossein Lahiji and Najmeh Vahid Lahiji, Criminal No. H-11-30, 3 Defendants 1 and 2. 4 5 MS. FERKO: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Carolyn Ferko for the United States. 6 7 THE COURT: Good morning -- afternoon. 8 MR. MCCRUM: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Mike McCrum here for Dr. Lahiji. He's here. 9 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 10 MR. SANCHEZ: Andres Sanchez, Your Honor, for Najmeh 11 12 Lahiji. And Mike DeGuerin and I represent Najmeh Lahiji and as local counsel for Erich Ferrari --13 14 THE COURT: All right. MR. SANCHEZ: -- who's out of D.C. 15 16 THE COURT: Okay. You all have -- Dr. and 17 Mrs. Lahiji, you have each waived your right to have a full 18 presentence report prepared in your case; is that right? 19 DEFENDANT HOSSEIN LAHIJI: 20 DEFENDANT NAJMEH LAHIJI: Yes. THE COURT: Mr. Lahiji -- I mean, Dr. Lahiji? 21 DEFENDANT HOSSEIN LAHIJI: Yes, Your Honor. 22 23 THE COURT: Mrs. Lahiji? 24 DEFENDANT NAJMEH LAHIJI: Yes, Your Honor. 25 THE COURT: So I'm going to -- and I have granted that

I believe I have enough information to pronounce 1 2 sentence at this time so that we can dispose with the effort of 3 and the time necessary to prepare these presentence reports. MS. FERKO: Your Honor, before we begin, I want -- the 4 5 government has filed in advance, on Tuesday, the notice of intent to request for the judicial removal. 6 7 THE COURT: Yes. 8 MS. FERKO: At this time would the Court entertain accepting the defendant's plea statement in support of that 9 removal? It has to be signed by each defendant and 10 their attorney and if we could just do that in advance of the 11 12 sentencing. THE COURT: Sure. If you all would go ahead and 13 14 execute those, that would be fine. 15 MS. FERKO: And, Your Honor, I have them paper clipped because I didn't -- I know scanning and stuff, like I filed 16 everything, but these are the original copies. And that's for 17 18 Dr. Lahiji. And let me get Mrs. Lahiji's. 19 THE COURT: All right. I have the two statements signed. 20 21 MS. FERKO: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. -- I mean, Dr. and Mrs. Lahiji, 22 each of you in a previous proceeding were found quilty of Count 23 24 1 of a third superseding indictment, conspiracy to commit

health fraud -- health care fraud, in violation of 18, United

25

```
States Code, Sections 1349 and 1347 and 2, and Count 4 of a
1
2
    third superseding indictment, conspiracy to violate the Iranian
    Sanctions, in violation of 50, United States Code, Section
3
    1705(a) and (c) and 31 CFR Sections 560.203, 560.202, and
4
5
    560.207.
 6
                  Have you-all -- have they received a copy of
 7
    this --
8
             MR. MCCRUM: The worksheet?
             THE COURT: -- of this worksheet?
9
             MR. MCCRUM: Yes, Your Honor.
10
             THE COURT: And have y'all -- Dr. Lahiji, have you had
11
    a chance to read over the copy of this worksheet?
12
             DEFENDANT HOSSEIN LAHIJI: Yes, Your Honor.
13
             THE COURT: And, Mrs. Lahiji, have you also had a
14
15
    chance to go over the copy of the worksheet?
16
             DEFENDANT NAJMEH LAHIJI: Yes, Your Honor.
17
             THE COURT: All right. The probation officer worked
    out a basis offense level of -- well, let me see here.
18
19
             MR. SANCHEZ: I think it's on the last page.
20
             THE COURT: I'm sorry. No, I have it here.
                                                          I'm just
21
    very slow and clumsy with paper, which is a very bad trait for
    me to have, but that's the way it is.
22
                              The probation officer for Mrs. Lahiji
23
                  All right.
24
    found a total offense level of 18, criminal history category of
    I, which gives an imprisonment range of 27 to 33 months.
25
```

And Dr. Lahiji, a total offense level of 20, 1 2 criminal history category of I, which gives a guideline range 3 of 33 to 41 months. However, the government has filed -- or you-all 4 5 have signed a plea agreement that allows you to withdraw your plea of quilty if I don't sentence you to a certain agreed 6 amount of time. And I am going to sentence you to the agreed 7 8 amount of time, so I don't think there's any reason to delay and say anything more --9 MS. FERKO: Okay, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: -- unless you-all want to say something. 11 12 Dr. Lahiji, would you like to say something before I pronounce sentence? 13 14 DEFENDANT HOSSEIN LAHIJI: No. Thank you. 15 THE COURT: Mrs. Lahiji, would you like to say 16 something before I pronounce sentence? 17 DEFENDANT NAJMEH LAHIJI: No, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: All right. Pursuant to Rule 32, the Court 19 finds that the information in the record enables it to meaningfully exercise its sentencing authority under 18, United 20 States Code, Section 3553(a). 21 The Court concludes that the total offense level 22 substantially overstates the seriousness of the offense and 23 24 pursuant to United States Sentencing Guidelines Section 2K2,

the Court grants a downward departure based on the defendant's

25

```
background, including history and characteristics of the defendant, and the Court finds that a sentence -- for each defendant, a sentence of 12 months and 1 day as outlined and agreed to by both parties in the Rule 11(c)(1)(C) plea agreement is sufficient but not greater than necessary to adequately reflect the seriousness of the offense, promote respect for the law, provide deterrence to future criminal conduct, and addresses each defendant's background and characteristics as outlined in 18, United States Code, Section 3553(a).
```

Pursuant to the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984, it is hereby -- I'm sorry. It is the judgment of the Court that the defendant, Hossein Lahiji, M.D., and Najmeh Vahid Lahiji are hereby committed to the custody of the Bureau of Prisons to be imprisoned for a term of 12 months and 1 day as to each of Counts 1 of the third superseding indictment and 4 of the third superseding indictment to run concurrently, for a total sentence of 12 months and 1 day. And these sentences are also joined concurrently to the sentences imposed in Oregon Docket No. 3:10cr506. Defendants 1 and 2?

MR. MCCRUM: Yes, Your Honor.

MS. FERKO: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: There's a misprint here.

MS. FERKO: That's correct.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. FERKO: Your Honor, and the government would also ask that it be followed by the requisite term of three years of supervised release.

THE COURT: All right. Upon release from imprisonment, each defendant shall be placed on supervised release for a term of three years as to each of Counts 1 of the third superseding indictment and 4 of the third superseding indictment to run concurrently, for a total term of three years.

Within 72 hours of release from the custody of the Bureau of Prisons, each defendant shall report in person to the probation office in the district to which the defendant is released.

While on supervised release each defendant shall not commit another federal, state, or local crime; shall comply with the standard conditions that have been adopted by this Court under General Order No. H 1996-10; abide by any mandatory conditions required by law; and shall comply with the following additional conditions: Neither defendant shall possess a firearm, ammunition, destructive device, or any other dangerous weapon.

Each defendant shall cooperate in the collection of a DNA sample from each defendant if the collection of such a sample is authorized pursuant to Section 3 of the DNA Analysis Backlog Elimination Act of 2000.

If deported, neither defendant is to reenter the United States illegally. If a defendant is deported during the period of supervised release, supervision by the probation officer becomes inactive. If the defendant returns, the defendant shall report to the nearest United States probation office immediately. Supervision by the probation office reactivates automatically upon the defendant's reporting.

Each defendant is required to provide the probation officer access to any requested financial information, and the defendant -- each defendant is prohibited from incurring new credit charges or opening additional lines of credit without approval of the probation officer, unless the defendant is in compliance with the fine or restitution payment schedule. Each defendant is prohibited from possessing a credit access device, such as a credit card, unless first authorized by the probation officer.

It is further ordered that the defendant -- each defendant pay restitution jointly and severally with the codefendant in the total amount of \$703,524.74 to Medicare and 100 -- I'm sorry. That --

MS. FERKO: Your Honor, there's a list of the individuals for the victims beginning with Medicare and going to Medicaid -- would you like me read them for the record or --

THE COURT: Well, I've got it here, I think, but I think something has been left out. Let me ask the probation

officer just to see what I'm reading wrong here. 1 2 PROBATION OFFICER: Your Honor, the list that's there is Medicare, Medicaid, Blue Cross/Blue Shield of Texas, Aetna, 3 United Health Care, and Humana. 4 5 THE COURT: Okay. So the total amount is \$703,524.74. PROBATION OFFICER: That's right. 6 7 THE COURT: And that is made up with \$469,548.74 to 8 Medicare; \$153,508.95 to Medicaid; \$51,558.21 to Blue Cross/Blue Shield of Texas; \$13,003.63 to Aetna; \$9,239.34 to 9 United Health Care; and \$6,665.87 to Humana as specifically 10 outlined in the final judgment. 11 It is further ordered that each defendant shall 12 pay to the United States a special assessment of \$200. 13 14 It is further ordered that each defendant shall 15 pay a fine in the amount of 200 -- 2 million --MS. FERKO: Each -- Your Honor, for each defendant 16 17 it's \$1,250,000 --18 THE COURT: Okay. 19 MS. FERKO: -- for a total of 2.5 million dollars. THE COURT: Okay. So it's further ordered that each 20 21 defendant shall pay a fine in the amount of 100 -- I'm sorry, \$1,250,000 as to each of Counts 1 and 4 of the third 22 superseding indictment to run concurrently, for a total fine 23 24 for each defendant of \$1,250,000. 25 Having assessed the defendants' ability to pay,

```
payment of the total criminal monetary penalties shall be due
1
2
    as follows:
                 Each defendant shall make a lump sum payment of
3
    $1,953,000 -- $1,953,724.74 due immediately, of which
    $703,524.74 of which is to be jointly and severally owed by the
4
5
    codefendants individually and payment is to be made to the
    United States District Clerk, Southern District of Texas.
6
7
             MS. FERKO:
                         That's correct, Your Honor.
8
             THE COURT: What?
             MR. SANCHEZ: That's right. Yes, I agree.
9
             MR. MCCRUM: Just so that we're all --
10
             THE COURT: Do you find something comical about my
11
12
    reading of all these numbers?
             MR. SANCHEZ: No, we're -- I think we got a little
13
    confused, but you cleared it up, that there's only one payment
14
15
    of the 703,000.
16
             THE COURT: Right. Right.
             MR. SANCHEZ: So that's --
17
18
             MR. MCCRUM: For total moneys paid of $2,500,000 plus
    $703,524.74.
19
20
             THE COURT:
                         Right.
21
             MR. MCCRUM:
                        Plus the $200 assessment.
22
             MS. FERKO: For each.
23
             MR. MCCRUM: A total between -- for everybody
24
    collectively.
25
             THE COURT: Right. Don't get me mixed up here.
```

think I understand it now. 1 2 Dr. and Mrs. Lahiji, to the extent you have not waived your right to appeal, you have the right to appeal your 3 conviction and your sentence. If you do not have the funds to 4 5 pay for an attorney, one will be provided for you at government's expense, along with any transcripts or other 6 documents necessary for such an appeal. 7 8 MS. FERKO: And there is such waiver, Your Honor. They've waived it. 9 THE COURT: I know, but I have to say that. 10 MS. FERKO: I understand. 11 12 THE COURT: And I've signed these -- let me make sure I've got this. I have signed the judicial order of removal for 13 14 each of the defendants. Did you want me to sign them now? 15 MS. FERKO: Well, Your Honor, we actually -- for Dr. Lahiji, if you could sign first just for technicality 16 17 purposes the order revoking his citizenship and then followed by the judicial order of removal. I have copies here, if the 18 Court needs an extra, to hand up. 19 20 THE COURT: Okay. Let me see here. MS. FERKO: And all copies have been provided to 21 defense counsel and their clients. 22 23 THE COURT: Let me see here what I've got. MS. FERKO: And, Your Honor, just related to the order 24

revoking citizenship, Your Honor, the Court would -- or the

25

government would ask if the Court would impose that Dr. Lahiji hand over his -- the certificate of naturalization within ten days and any other documents. They ask for voting -- any voting documents he would have, registration cards, and that could be either handed to the -- I'm not sure where they're going to be -- either the FBI office in McAllen, Texas, or the U.S. Attorney's Office and, you know, to my attention for those documents. And then essentially the government's position would be if we do not receive those items in that ten-day period, we will be asking -- right now, Your Honor, the government is asking that the defendants surrender themselves at the time B.O.P. determines where they're going to serve.

THE COURT: So they're going to have voluntary surrender?

MS. FERKO: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. FERKO: And, again, if we don't receive the documents in ten days, then we would come to the Court and it would be -- we would deem it a violation of the plea agreements and ask for a revocation of their bond.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. MCCRUM: With respect to that, Judge, it's our understanding that the government is in possession of those documents, but we will check immediately.

MS. FERKO: And I believe that is correct. I just

```
need to -- I want to put on the record, Your Honor, if he has
1
    gotten another copy. At the time, you know, we did the search,
2
3
    it's been a couple of years, and I just wanted to make sure
    that nothing else was gotten or received.
4
5
             THE COURT: Okay. All right. So you want me to sign
6
    the order revoking Dr. Lahiji's --
7
             MS. FERKO:
                         Citizenship.
8
             THE COURT:
                        -- citizenship?
             MS. FERKO: Yes, Your Honor, first.
9
             THE COURT: Okay.
10
             MS. FERKO: And then the order of removal.
11
                         All right. Well, I've signed that.
12
             THE COURT:
                         Thank you, Your Honor.
13
             MS. FERKO:
                         And I've signed the -- I think this is in
14
             THE COURT:
15
    the right order.
16
             MS. FERKO:
                         Okay.
                         Why don't -- Mr. Vazquez, could you hand
17
             THE COURT:
    these to Ms. Ferko and let her look them over to make sure
18
19
    we've got everything together for each of the two defendants.
20
             MS. FERKO: Your Honor, there's just one signature.
21
    It's basically on the factual allegations in support of the
    judicial removal that was presented by me when I filed it, but
22
23
    we do ask for your signature on it that shows that you --
24
             THE COURT: Okay.
             MS. FERKO: -- saw it in addition to the order.
25
```

```
order is repetitive, but just to cover all of the bases.
1
2
             THE COURT: Okay. All right. And then you can keep
3
    that one. And then these are the ones for Mrs. Lahiji, I
   believe. Let's see if -- hand those to Ms. Ferko, and let's
4
5
    see if I get it right for Mrs. Lahiji.
6
             MS. FERKO: That's correct, Your Honor.
                                                      Thank you.
7
             THE COURT: All right. Do you-all want these filed
8
   under seal or --
             MS. FERKO: Your Honor, no, they can be filed in the
9
   public record, that's fine.
10
11
             THE COURT: Okay.
12
             MS. FERKO: Thank you.
             THE COURT: All right.
13
14
             MR. MCCRUM: There's a couple matters I need to
15
    address, Judge, at the appropriate time.
16
             THE COURT: Sure.
             MR. MCCRUM: Three things, Judge. I just want to make
17
    sure that it was the Court's intent that the terms of
18
19
    supervised release also would run concurrent with the
    supervised release terms imposed in Portland.
20
             THE COURT: Oh, okay. Yes, I did intend that the
21
    supervised release would run concurrently with the supervised
22
23
    release that was given out in the Oregon case.
24
             MR. MCCRUM: Okay, Judge. Thank you. And then,
25
    secondly, that because the agreements contemplate that they
```

will leave the country within 21 days from the date of release 1 2 from the Bureau of Prisons, it would be a nonreporting supervised release in that instance? 3 THE COURT: Yeah, I think so. I mean --4 5 MS. FERKO: I think that's correct, Your Honor, at Obviously if there's a problem after 21 days, we'll 6 this time. be aware of it. 7 8 THE COURT: Yeah, right. Right, right. MR. MCCRUM: And then finally, Judge, the only 9 questions that my client has and I believe Mr. Sanchez's client 10 has, is that is it the intent of these judicial orders of 11 12 removal, that they will retain legal status in the United States up through that 21-day period following their release, 13 14 that is, that they still are allowed to be in the country for 15 that 21-day period after they're released from the Bureau of Prisons? 16 MS. FERKO: Yes, Your Honor, according to all the 17 documents and with Immigration Customs Enforcement, those 18 19 judicial orders do not actually become -- do not become judicial orders of removal until they're released from 20 imprisonment, the date of release and then not until 21 days 21 22 thereafter. 23 THE COURT: Okay. 24 MS. FERKO: That's that 21-day period they have to 25 self deport back to Iran.

THE COURT: Yeah. 1 2 MS. FERKO: So at that time then they will -- so the 3 orders are covered and that has been explained on every level of -- you know, through our immigration, ICE here in Texas and 4 5 in D.C. So everyone is well aware of that and that's the conditions of the order. 6 MR. MCCRUM: And that's the effect of the last clauses 7 8 of the Judge's order of removal here. 9 MS. FERKO: That's correct. MR. MCCRUM: And that's -- okay. We're all on the 10 same page, Judge. 11 12 THE COURT: Yeah. Okay. MR. SANCHEZ: Your Honor --13 MS. FERKO: Go ahead. 14 15 MR. SANCHEZ: -- there is one last thing. 16 going to ask that the Court make a recommendation as to 17 locations, but we're still trying to find out -- find two locations that are relatively close to each other, that one has 18 19 a male and one has a female population, so when family members come to visit, they don't have to visit two separate parts. 20 21 THE COURT: They don't have to travel long distances. MR. SANCHEZ: But we're looking that up and we hope to 22 have -- I think it's going to be Bryan and Beaumont. 23 24 it's not close, but it's closer than East Coast/West Coast. THE COURT: Right. 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SANCHEZ: But we hope to give that to Ms. Jones. MS. FERKO: And the government believes, Your Honor, that the State of Texas can facilitate that need, so -- based upon what level they're going to be, you know, as deemed by the Bureau of Prisons. So I think --THE COURT: So do you want me to make a recommendation for that? MR. SANCHEZ: I do, Your Honor, and I understand that it goes in the judgment if --THE COURT: Right. MR. SANCHEZ: -- and so we don't have the exact spot yet, but we can have it by Monday and give it to Ms. Jones, who will prepare the judgment, if that's workable for you. THE COURT: Okay. I'll recommend that Dr. Lahiji and Mrs. Lahiji be designated to a facility as close together as possible, preferably in the state of Texas. Will that do it? MR. MCCRUM: I spoke with Ms. Jones just prior to the hearing and she had already made a phone call to the Bureau of Prisons and she said I think what might work best is there's a camp facility in Bryan for Mrs. Lahiji and there's a camp facility in Beaumont for Dr. Lahiji, which would be in rather somewhat close proximity to each other. MR. SANCHEZ: So then if, I guess -- I thought that was going to be the end result. So if then we can make that the end result, the Court can recommend Bryan for Ms. Lahiji

```
and --
1
2
             THE COURT: Okay. I can make that recommendation.
                                                                  Ι
    can recommend for Mrs. Lahiji Bryan and for Dr. Lahiji
3
   Beaumont --
4
5
             PROBATION OFFICER: Yes, Your Honor.
             THE COURT: -- in each of their -- in each of the two
6
7
    judgments.
8
             PROBATION OFFICER: Yes, Your Honor.
9
             THE COURT: Yeah.
             PROBATION OFFICER: And if that should change between
10
11
   now and Monday, I can change it --
12
             THE COURT: Okay.
             PROBATION OFFICER: -- on the judgment. I'll turn the
13
14
    judgment in on Monday.
15
             THE COURT: Okay. All right.
             MR. SANCHEZ: Thank you, Your Honor.
16
17
             THE COURT: All right. Anything else?
             MS. FERKO: Your Honor, just one last thing.
18
19
    contemplation of the plea agreement, that the defendants still
    have ten days from today, they need to withdraw -- file a
20
   withdrawal of their appeal in Oregon and also a dismissal of
21
    the civil matter that's pending in our McAllen court down in
22
23
    South Texas.
                                            Thank you all.
24
             THE COURT: Okay. All right.
25
                         Thank you, Your Honor.
             MS. FERKO:
```

```
1
              MR. MCCRUM: Thank you, Your Honor.
 2
              MR. SANCHEZ: Thank you, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: Thank you.
 3
              MS. FERKO: Have a great afternoon.
 4
 5
              THE COURT: You too.
         (Concluded at 1:53 p.m.)
 6
 7
    I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the
 8
    record of proceedings in the above-entitled cause, to the best
 9
    of my ability.
10
11
                                                     7-10-2014
    /s/<u>Xathy L. Metager</u>
Kathy L. Metager
12
    Official Court Reporter
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```